

<b>Category</b>	<b>Very Poor (1)</b>	<b>Poor (2)</b>	<b>Fair (3)</b>	<b>Good (4)</b>	<b>Very Good (5)</b>
<b><u>Grammar</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Numerous grammatical errors throughout the paper, hindering readability and comprehension</li> <li>- Frequent misspellings, punctuation mistakes, and/or incorrect word usage</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Several grammatical errors are present</li> <li>- Misspellings, punctuation errors, or incorrect word choices noticeable</li> <li>- Sentences may be awkwardly constructed or lack clarity due to grammar issues</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct tense</li> <li>- Capitalization</li> <li>- Correct spelling</li> <li>- Incorrect Punctuation</li> <li>- Clunky/Does not flow well</li> <li>- Under 10 errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct tense</li> <li>- Capitalization</li> <li>- Correct spelling</li> <li>- Correct Punctuation</li> <li>- Flows Well</li> <li>- Under 5 errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct tense</li> <li>- Capitalization</li> <li>- Correct spelling</li> <li>- Correct Punctuation</li> <li>- Flows Well</li> <li>- Error-free</li> </ul>
<b><u>History/ Background</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No history and no connection to the topic at hand</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Unclear description of the topic</li> <li>- Unclear history of the country's participation in it</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some description of the topic</li> <li>- Some history of the country's participation in it</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clear description of the topic</li> <li>- Clear history of the country's participation in it</li> <li>- Relevant dates included</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clear description of the topic</li> <li>- Clear history of the country's participation in it</li> <li>- Relevant dates included</li> <li>- The main actors and victims included</li> <li>- Prior UN involvement if applicable</li> </ul>
<b><u>Geography/ Politics</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No geographic or information on the country's stances: resources, alliances, religion, or culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Missing major details of geographic or information on the country's stances: resources, alliances, religion, or culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Baseline information of the country's stances: resources, alliances, religion, and culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clear description of geographic location</li> <li>- Baseline information on the country's resources, alliances, religion, and culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clear description of geographic location</li> <li>- Facts and statistics of the country's stances</li> <li>- Clear alliances between other countries present in the committee</li> </ul>
<b><u>Delegate Position</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Position is poorly understood and misrepresented.</li> <li>- Little to no evidence or past policy referenced to support recommendations</li> <li>- Recommendations lack coherence and/or relevance</li> <li>- Suggestions are</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Position is somewhat understood but still misrepresented</li> <li>- Limited evidence or past policy referenced to support recommendations</li> <li>- Recommendations are vague or lack depth</li> <li>- Suggestions may not address the core aspects of the issue</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Position is adequately understood and represented</li> <li>- Some evidence or past policy referenced to support recommendations, but lacking in depth or variety</li> <li>- Recommendations are somewhat clear but may lack specificity and/or feasibility</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Position is well-understood and accurately represented</li> <li>- A good understanding of relevant context is demonstrated</li> <li>- Recommendations are clear, specific, and relevant to the issue</li> <li>- Suggestions demonstrate a thoughtful</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Position is accurately understood and represented</li> <li>- Position is backed by evidence / past policy</li> <li>- Recommendations are comprehensive, innovative, and well-developed</li> <li>- Suggestions are realistic, practical, and potentially impactful</li> </ul>

<b>Category</b>	<b>Very Poor (1)</b>	<b>Poor (2)</b>	<b>Fair (3)</b>	<b>Good (4)</b>	<b>Very Good (5)</b>
	unrealistic or impractical	adequately		consideration of potential impacts and are feasible	